

# A DUAL CHARACTERIZATION OF THE $\mathcal{C}^1$ HARMONIC CAPACITY AND APPLICATIONS

ALBERT MAS, MARK MELNIKOV, AND XAVIER TOLSA

**ABSTRACT.** The Lipschitz and  $\mathcal{C}^1$  harmonic capacities  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa_c$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be considered as high-dimensional versions of the so-called analytic and continuous analytic capacities  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  (respectively).

In this paper we provide a dual characterization of  $\kappa_c$  in the spirit of the classical one for the capacity  $\alpha$  by means of the Garabedian function. Using this new characterization, we show that  $\kappa(E) = \kappa(\partial_o E)$  for any compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\partial_o E$  is the outer boundary of  $E$ , and we solve an open problem posed by A. Volberg, which consists on estimating from below the Lipschitz harmonic capacity of a graph of a continuous function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\text{Lip}_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the set of real-valued locally Lipschitz functions (with exponent 1) on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the set of real-valued continuously differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded set and

$$\begin{aligned} U'(E) &= \{\varphi \in \text{Lip}_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp} \Delta \varphi \subset E, \nabla \varphi(\infty) = 0\}, \\ U'_c(E) &= \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp} \Delta \varphi \subset E, \nabla \varphi(\infty) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

the Lipschitz and  $\mathcal{C}^1$  harmonic capacities of  $E$  are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa(E) &= \sup \{\langle 1, \Delta \varphi \rangle : \varphi \in U'(E), \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty \leq 1\}, \\ \kappa_c(E) &= \sup \{\langle 1, \Delta \varphi \rangle : \varphi \in U'_c(E), \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty \leq 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle f, \Delta \varphi \rangle$  means the action of the compactly supported distribution  $\Delta \varphi$  on a smooth function  $f$ , and  $\|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty$  is the  $L^\infty$  norm of the gradient  $\nabla \varphi$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The symbol  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian operator in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In order to deal with the problem of harmonic approximation in the  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -norm, P. Paramonov introduced in [Pa] the capacities  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa_c$ , and gave a description, in terms of these capacities, of the compact sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (with  $n \geq 2$ ) such that any  $\mathcal{C}^1$  function harmonic in the interior of  $E$  can be approximated in the  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -norm by harmonic functions in a neighborhood of  $E$ .

---

*Date:* February 2008.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31B05; Secondary 31B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Lipschitz and  $\mathcal{C}^1$  harmonic capacities, Dirichlet and Neumann problems, orthogonal measures.

The three authors are partially supported by grants 2005SGR-007749 (Generalitat de Catalunya) and MTM2007-62817 (MEC, Spain). Albert Mas is also supported by grant AP2006-02416 (Programa FPU del MEC, Spain).

The capacities  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa_c$  can be understood as high-dimensional versions of the so-called analytic and continuous analytic capacities  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  (respectively). Recall that, for a compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\gamma(E) = \sup |f'(\infty)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all analytic functions  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $|f| \leq 1$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ , and  $f'(\infty) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z(f(z) - f(\infty))$ . The continuous analytic capacity  $\alpha$  has the same definition as  $\gamma$  except that one also requires the functions  $f$  to be continuous in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $|f| \leq 1$  everywhere.

The analytic capacity was first introduced by L. Ahlfors in [Ah] when he was characterizing the removable compact sets for bounded analytic functions in the plane. The continuous analytic capacity was defined by A. Vitushkin in [Vi] when he dealt with the problem of rational approximation in the uniform norm on compact sets of the plane. Both capacities have been studied by many authors since then (see [Gt] for a nice survey on results related with  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$ , and [Da] or [To1] for more recent results). In particular, there exists a dual characterization of  $\alpha$  that can be stated as follows: let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the closure of a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Then,

$$\alpha(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} |h(z)| ds : h \in H^1(\Omega^c), h(\infty) = 1 \right\},$$

where  $ds$  denotes the arc length and  $H^1(\Omega^c)$  is the Hardy space of functions  $h$  analytic in  $\Omega^c \cup \{\infty\}$  such that the subharmonic function  $|h(z)|$  has a harmonic majorant. It is also proved that the infimum is attained, and the function  $\psi$  that solves the extremal problem is called the Garabedian function of  $\Omega$ ; so  $\alpha(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\psi(z)| ds$ .

A classical way to construct the Garabedian function is to use the Hahn-Banach theorem and the F. and M. Riesz theorem. Observe that the quantity  $\alpha(\Omega)$  is the norm of the functional  $f \mapsto f'(\infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} f(z) dz$  on the space of continuous functions outside  $\text{int}\Omega$  that are analytic outside  $\Omega$ , which is a subspace of the continuous functions on  $\partial\Omega$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem one can find a measure  $\mu$  supported on  $\partial\Omega$ , orthogonal to the functions analytic outside  $\Omega$ , and such that

$$\alpha(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} |dz + d\mu|.$$

The F. and M. Riesz theorem ensures that, in fact,  $dz + d\mu(z) = \psi(z) ds$  for an analytic function  $\psi$  that solves the extremal problem (see [Gt, section I.4] for more details).

The aim of this paper is to give a dual characterization of the  $\mathcal{C}^1$  harmonic capacity  $\kappa_c$  in terms of some “Garabedian function” and to use this “function” to deduce some properties of  $\kappa_c$  and  $\kappa$ . This characterization is stated in theorem 3.3 and it is based on the Hahn-Banach theorem, as can be done for the capacity  $\alpha$ .

Unfortunately, the F. and M. Riesz theorem can not be generalized to higher dimensions in the sense that we need, because the capacity  $\kappa_c$  is defined in terms of gradients of harmonic functions and there are examples of measures orthogonal to those gradients which are not absolutely continuous with respect to the surface measure (see [Ma]). This means that, instead

of a “Garabedian function”, we will just have a “Garabedian measure” that minimizes some quantity. We will be able to deduce some geometric properties of that minimal measure by adapting a theorem of B. Gustafsson and D. Khavinson about measures on  $\partial\Omega$  orthogonal to harmonic gradients (see theorem 3.1) and by proving a theorem about restrictions to  $\partial\Omega$  of orthogonal measures on  $\Omega$  (see proposition 3.2).

Many properties of the Lipschitz and  $C^1$  harmonic capacities were recently proven. The semiadditivity is a very important one, and it was obtained by A. Volberg in [Vo] for the capacity  $\kappa$ . A little bit later, A. Ruiz de Villa and X. Tolsa proved in [RT] that  $\kappa_c$  is also semiadditive. See [MP] and [Rz] for other interesting properties about these capacities.

We will obtain two new results on the capacity  $\kappa$  from theorem 3.3, namely theorem 4.1 and theorem 4.2. The first one states that  $\kappa(E) = \kappa(\partial_o E)$  for any compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\partial_o E$  denotes the outer boundary of  $E$  (i.e., the boundary of the unbounded component of  $E^c$ ). This property is obvious for the capacity  $\gamma$  because of the defining conditions, but this is no longer trivial for  $\kappa$ . Evidently, one has  $\kappa(E) \geq \kappa(\partial_o E)$ . The difficulties appear when one tries to prove the reverse inequality. Observe that, by Gauss formula,

$$(1.2) \quad \langle 1, \Delta\varphi \rangle = \int_{\partial_o V} \nabla\varphi \cdot \eta d\sigma$$

for any  $\varphi \in U'(E)$ , where  $V$  is a sufficiently regular neighborhood of  $E$ , and  $\eta$  and  $d\sigma$  are the normal outward unit vector and surface measure of  $\partial V$ , respectively. Suppose, for simplicity, that  $E$  is the closure of a bounded simply connected domain, so  $\partial_o E = \partial E$ . One can try to prove that  $\kappa(E) \leq \kappa(\partial E)$  directly from the definition (1.1) and the identity (1.2). The idea is to modify the functions  $\varphi \in U'(E)$  inside  $E$  to obtain functions  $\tilde{\varphi} \in U'(\partial E)$  such that  $\langle 1, \Delta\varphi \rangle = \langle 1, \Delta\tilde{\varphi} \rangle$ . The problem is that one cannot ensure that the gradients  $\nabla\tilde{\varphi}$  are bounded by 1 in  $E$ .

The second new result that we have obtained is theorem 4.2, where we solve an open problem posed by A. Volberg (private communication). The problem can be stated as follows:

**Problem 1.1.** *Let  $f$  be a real continuous function defined on the cube  $Q_0 = [0, d]^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and let  $\Gamma = \{(x, f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \in Q_0\}$  be the graph of  $f$ . Prove that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on  $n$  such that  $Cd^{n-1} \leq \kappa(\Gamma)$ .*

Note that, if  $\text{diam}(\Gamma)$  is comparable to  $d$ , problem 1.1 states that  $\kappa(\Gamma) \geq C\text{diam}(\Gamma)^{n-1}$ . This is a reasonable analogue of an important result in the area of analytic capacity which says that  $\gamma(E) \geq \frac{1}{4}\text{diam}(E)$  for any continuum (i.e., compact and connected set)  $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ . This classical result on  $\gamma$  is a consequence of the 1/4-theorem of Koebe (see [Ga, theorem 2.1 of chapter VIII]), and a real variable proof was first obtained by P. Jones by using the notion of curvature of a measure (see [Pj, Section 3.5]).

One cannot expect this kind of estimates on  $\kappa(E)$  for any continuum  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , because, for example, a segment in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  has zero Lipschitz harmonic capacity. In fact, by using the identity (1.2), it is not difficult to show that  $\kappa(E) = 0$  for any compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with zero  $(n-1)$ -Hausdorff measure.

So, to obtain a reasonable analogue of the estimate of the analytic capacity of a continuum for the capacity  $\kappa$ , one has to restrict himself to continua with positive  $(n - 1)$ -Hausdorff measure or, in an easier way, to graphs of continuous functions.

The structure of the paper is the following. Section 2 is devoted to the preliminaries, where we will talk about vector measures, Lipschitz and  $C^1$  harmonic capacities, and harmonicity at infinity (which includes the exterior Dirichlet and Neumann problems). With these notions, we will be ready to state and prove the dual characterization of  $\kappa_c$  (i.e., theorem 3.3). This will be in section 3. Section 4 is devoted to prove the two announced properties of  $\kappa$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In the whole paper, we assume  $n \geq 2$ . The word *smooth* means of class  $C^\infty$ , wherever we talk about functions or the boundary of an open set. We write  $\chi_E$  for the characteristic function of a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . The letter  $C$  will denote a constant which may be different at different occurrences and which is independent of the relevant variables under consideration.

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}(E)$  the set of real-valued continuous functions defined on a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , and by  $\mathcal{C}(E)^n$  the cartesian product of  $n$  spaces  $\mathcal{C}(E)$ .

Given a  $C^\infty$  orientable manifold  $M$  of dimension  $d \leq n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , let  $\mathcal{C}^k(M)$  be the set of real-valued differentiable functions in  $M$  such that their partial derivatives (with respect to the local coordinates chosen in  $M$ ) of order less than  $k + 1$  exist and are continuous functions in  $M$ . In case that  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , we can take a system of local coordinates

$$\{U \subset M, y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)\}$$

such that  $U \cap M = y^{-1}(\{x_d \geq 0\})$  and  $U \cap \partial M = y^{-1}(\{x_d = 0\})$ , for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For the points  $p = y^{-1}(x) \in U \cap \partial M$ , the partial derivative of a function  $f : y(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with respect to the coordinate  $x_d$  at a point  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, 0) \in y(U)$  is defined by the limit (if it exists)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} f \Big|_p = \lim_{t > 0, t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, t) - f(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, 0)}{t}.$$

When  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , for any function  $\varphi \in C^1(M)$  we can identify the differential  $d\varphi$  with a vector function in  $\mathcal{C}(M)^n$ , so we say that  $\varphi \in C^1(M)$  if  $d\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(M)^n$ . Clearly,  $d\varphi = \nabla \varphi$  if  $M$  is an open set. Notice that, if  $M$  is the closure of an open set with smooth boundary,  $\varphi|_{\partial M} \in C^1(\partial M)$  for any  $\varphi \in C^1(M)$ , and  $d(\varphi|_{\partial M})$  is the tangential part of  $d\varphi$  with respect to  $\partial M$ .

It is an exercise to check that this definition of  $C^1(M)$  agrees with the classical one for closures of open sets with smooth boundary, i.e.,  $C^1(M)$  is the set of continuous functions on  $M$  such that their gradients on  $\text{int } M$  can be extended to continuous vector functions on  $M$ . It also agrees with the definition of  $C^1(M)$  given in [Pa] or [Wh].

Our typical situation will be that  $M$  is equal to  $U$ ,  $\overline{U}$  or  $\partial U$ , for an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary.

**2.1. Vector measures. The Riesz representation theorem.** Given a subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a vector function  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , define

$$\|f\|_E = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in E\},$$

where

$$|f(x)| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i(x))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{C}(E)^n$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_E$  is a Banach space.

Given a bounded linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathcal{C}(E)^n$  and a subspace  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}(E)^n$ , define

$$\|\Lambda\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sup\{|\Lambda(f)| : f \in \mathcal{F}, \|f\|_E \leq 1\}.$$

For simplicity, we write  $\|f\|$  and  $\|\Lambda\|$  instead of  $\|f\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  and  $\|\Lambda\|_{\mathcal{C}(E)^n}$ , respectively (when there is no confusion on what is  $E$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{M}(E)$  be the space of finite real Borel measures supported on  $E$  and  $\mathcal{M}(E)^n$  the cartesian product of  $n$  spaces  $\mathcal{M}(E)$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{M}(E)^n$  are commonly called vector measures. For  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathcal{M}(E)^n$ , define the variation of  $\mu$  on a subset  $F \subset E$  as

$$|\mu|(F) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m |\mu(F_j)| : F = \bigcup_{j=1}^m F_j, \quad F_j \text{ is } \mu_i\text{-measurable } \forall i, j \right\},$$

where

$$|\mu(F_j)| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i(F_j))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Finally, define the total variation of  $\mu$  as  $\|\mu\|_E = |\mu|(E)$ . It is proved that  $|\mu|$  is a positive and finite measure on  $E$  (see for example [L2, theorem 3.1 of chapter VII]). It is easily seen that  $\|\cdot\|_E$  is a norm on the space  $\mathcal{M}(E)^n$ .

Any vector measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(E)^n$  can be considered as a bounded linear functional  $\langle \cdot, \mu \rangle : \mathcal{C}(E)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by putting

$$\langle f, \mu \rangle = \int f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \int f_i d\mu_i.$$

On the other hand, the Riesz representation theorem (for scalar measures) shows that any bounded linear functional on  $\mathcal{C}(E)^n$  can be represented as  $\langle \cdot, \mu \rangle$  for some vector measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(E)^n$ .

The concept of vector measure is widely treated in many text books (see for example [DS] or [DU]). However, usually in the literature, the Riesz representation theorem for vector measures is stated in a slightly different setting. The following theorem contains the detailed arguments to prove the corresponding Riesz representation theorem for our specific setting.

**Theorem 2.1** (Riesz representation). *The map  $\mu \mapsto \langle \cdot, \mu \rangle$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}(E)^n$  onto the space of bounded linear functionals on  $\mathcal{C}(E)^n$ , so  $\|\mu\|_E = \|\langle \cdot, \mu \rangle\|$  for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(E)^n$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous comments, it is enough to prove that the map  $\mu \mapsto \langle \cdot, \mu \rangle$  is isometric to obtain the isomorphism. We have to check that for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(E)^n$ ,

$$\|\mu\|_E = \sup\{|\langle f, \mu \rangle| : f \in \mathcal{C}(E)^n, \|f\|_E \leq 1\}.$$

We will see first that  $\sup\{|\langle f, \mu \rangle| : f \in \mathcal{C}(E)^n, \|f\|_E \leq 1\} \leq \|\mu\|_E$ . By density, it is enough to prove that  $|\langle f, \mu \rangle| \leq \|\mu\|_E$  for simple vector functions of the form  $f = (\sum_m a_m^1 \chi_{F_m}, \dots, \sum_m a_m^n \chi_{F_m})$ , where the sums are finite, the  $a_m^i$ 's are real numbers, the  $F_j$ 's are disjoint subsets of  $E$ , and  $\|f\|_E = \sup_m (\sum_{i=1}^n (a_m^i)^2)^{1/2} \leq 1$ . By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, \mu \rangle| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_m a_m^i \mu_i(F_m) \right| \leq \sum_m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (a_m^i)^2 \sum_{j=1}^n (\mu_j(F_m))^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sup_m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (a_m^i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \sum_m |\mu(F_m)| \leq \|f\|_E |\mu|(E) \leq \|\mu\|_E. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove now that  $\|\mu\|_E \leq \sup\{|\langle f, \mu \rangle| : f \in \mathcal{C}(E)^n, \|f\|_E \leq 1\}$ . Let  $\nu$  be a positive measure such that  $\mu_i$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (for example  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|$ , where  $|\mu_i|$  is the classical variation of the real measure  $\mu_i$ ). Then  $\mu_i = h_i \nu$ , where  $h_i$  is a  $\nu$ -measurable function. Observe that, if we put  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ , then  $\mu = h\nu$  and

$$(2.1) \quad \|\langle \cdot, \mu \rangle\| = \sup\{|\langle f, h\nu \rangle| : f \in \mathcal{C}(E)^n, \|f\|_E \leq 1\} \leq \int_E |h| d\nu.$$

Consider the  $\nu$ -measurable vector function  $g$  defined by  $g(x) = h(x)/|h(x)|$  whenever  $h(x) \neq 0$  and  $g(x) = 0$  otherwise. Lusin's theorem can be adapted to our situation to prove that given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $f_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}(E)^n$  with  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_E \leq \|g\|_E \leq 1$  and such that

$$\left| \int_E (g - f_\varepsilon) d\mu \right| < \varepsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |h| d\nu &= \int_E (g \cdot h) d\nu = \int_E g d\mu \\ &\leq \left| \int_E (g - f_\varepsilon) d\mu \right| + \left| \int_E f_\varepsilon d\mu \right| \leq \varepsilon + \|\langle \cdot, \mu \rangle\| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This estimate together with (2.1) proves that  $\|\langle \cdot, \mu \rangle\| = \int_E |h| d\nu$ . So, to prove that  $\|\mu\|_E \leq \|\langle \cdot, \mu \rangle\|$  it is enough to check that  $|\mu(F)| \leq \int_F |h| d\nu$  for all  $F \subset E$   $\nu$ -measurable. By a discrete version of Minkowski's integral inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(F)| &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_F d\mu_i \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_F h_i d\nu \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \int_F \left( \sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2 \right)^{1/2} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $|\mu(F)| \leq \int_F |h| d\nu$ , and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**2.2. The Lipschitz and  $C^1$  harmonic capacities.** The fundamental solution  $\phi_n$  for the Laplace equation  $\Delta f = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by

$$\phi_n(x) = \begin{cases} a_n|x|^{2-n} & \text{if } n > 2, \\ a_n \log|x| & \text{if } n = 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $a_n$  is a constant which depends on the dimension  $n$ .

We stated the definitions of the Lipschitz and  $C^1$  harmonic capacities  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa_c$  in (1.1). The defining conditions for  $U'(E)$  and  $U'_c(E)$  imply that the functions  $\varphi \in U'(E)$  are harmonic in  $E^c$  and take the form  $\varphi = \phi_n * \Delta\varphi + \text{constant}$ , where this last equality is in the sense of distributions; but by the definitions of  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa_c$  we can suppose that, in fact,  $\varphi = \phi_n * \Delta\varphi$ . Recall that, if  $T$  is a compactly supported distribution, then for each  $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with compact support, by definition (in view of parity of  $\phi_n$ ),

$$\langle \phi_n * T, \psi \rangle = \langle T, \phi_n * \psi \rangle,$$

where  $\phi_n * \psi(x) = \int \phi_n(y)\psi(x-y)dm(y)$  and  $m$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Therefore, if we take

$$\begin{aligned} U(E) &= \{\varphi \in \text{Lip}_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp}\Delta\varphi \subset E, \varphi = \phi_n * \Delta\varphi\}, \\ U_c(E) &= \{\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp}\Delta\varphi \subset E, \varphi = \phi_n * \Delta\varphi\}, \end{aligned}$$

we can redefine the Lipschitz and  $C^1$  harmonic capacities by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(E) &= \sup\{\langle 1, \Delta\varphi \rangle : \varphi \in U(E), \|\nabla\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1\}, \\ \kappa_c(E) &= \sup\{\langle 1, \Delta\varphi \rangle : \varphi \in U_c(E), \|\nabla\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

**2.3. Harmonicity outside a compact set and at infinity.** Most of this section can be found in [Fo].

**Definition 2.2.** For any set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$  we define  $E^* = \{x/|x|^2 : x \in E\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$ . Given a function  $u$  defined on a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , define the Kelvin transform of  $u$  by

$$K_u(x) = |x|^{2-n}u(x/|x|^2), \quad \text{for } x \in E^*.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** The Kelvin transform is its own inverse. If  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  is an open set, then a function  $u$  is harmonic in  $V$  if and only if  $K_u$  is harmonic in  $V^*$ .

**Definition 2.4.** If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact and  $u$  is harmonic in  $E^c$ , then  $u$  is harmonic at  $\infty$  provided  $K_u$  has a removable singularity at the origin.

**Theorem 2.5.** Suppose that  $u$  is harmonic in  $E^c$ , where  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact. Then, the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $u$  is harmonic at  $\infty$ .
- (2)  $|u(x)| = o(1)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n > 2$ ), or  
 $|u(x)| = o(\log|x|)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n = 2$ ).
- (3)  $|u(x)| = O(|x|^{2-n})$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

In particular, any function which is harmonic at infinity vanishes at infinity when  $n > 2$  and is bounded when  $n = 2$ .

**Theorem 2.6** (Exterior Dirichlet problem). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the closure of a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Given  $h \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$  there exists a unique function  $u \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega^c})$  such that  $u$  is harmonic in  $\Omega^c \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = h$ . If  $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ , then  $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$ .*

**Theorem 2.7** (Exterior Neumann problem). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the closure of a bounded domain with smooth boundary and  $\eta$  the outward unit normal vector on  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  be the bounded connected components of  $\Omega^c$  and  $V_0$  the unbounded one. Let  $h \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$  such that*

$$\int_{\partial V_i} h d\sigma = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

- (1) *Assume  $n > 2$ . Then, there exists a function  $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega^c})$  such that  $u$  is harmonic in  $\Omega^c \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $(\nabla u \cdot \eta)|_{\partial\Omega} = h$ . The function  $u$  is unique modulo functions which are constant on each bounded connected component of  $\Omega^c$ .*
- (2) *Assume  $n = 2$ . Then, there exists a function  $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega^c})$  such that  $u$  is harmonic in  $\Omega^c \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $(\nabla u \cdot \eta)|_{\partial\Omega} = h$  if and only if*

$$\int_{\partial V_0} h d\sigma = 0.$$

*In that case, the function  $u$  is unique modulo functions which are constant on each connected component of  $\Omega^c$ .*

*In both cases,  $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$  if  $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ .*

*Remark 2.8.* Theorem 2.7 corresponds with theorem 3.41 of [Fo]. If we do not have the assumption  $\int_{\partial V_0} h d\sigma = 0$  in theorem 2.7(2), we can still find a function  $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega^c})$  harmonic in  $\Omega^c$  and such that  $(\nabla u \cdot \eta)|_{\partial\Omega} = h$ , by looking carefully at the proof of theorem 3.41 in [Fo]. Moreover,  $u$  can be taken as

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial V_0} \log|x - y| u_0(y) d\sigma(y)$$

for all  $x \in V_0$  and for some  $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\partial V_0)$  depending on  $h$ . But now,  $u$  may not be harmonic at infinity (because it may not be bounded) and we cannot ensure uniqueness in  $\Omega^c$  modulo constant functions. In fact, in proposition 3.35 of [Fo] it is shown that our particular solution  $u$  is harmonic at infinity if and only if  $\int_{\partial V_0} h d\sigma = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.9** (Green's formula). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the closure of a bounded domain with smooth boundary and  $\eta$  the outward unit normal vector on  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be harmonic functions in  $\Omega^c$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^1$  up to  $\partial\Omega$ , and such that*

$$|(u(x)\nabla v(x) - v(x)\nabla u(x)) \cdot x| = o(|x|^{2-n})$$

*when  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \eta) v d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} u (\nabla v \cdot \eta) d\sigma.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B_R$  be the ball centered at the origin with radius  $R$ , and take  $R > M$  such that  $\Omega \subset B_{R/2}$ . Define  $\Omega_R = B_R \setminus \Omega$  and let  $\eta$  denote also the

inward unit normal vector on  $\partial B_R$ . By Green's formula on  $\Omega_R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \eta) v d\sigma &= \int_{\partial\Omega_R} (\nabla u \cdot \eta) v d\sigma - \int_{\partial B_R} (\nabla u \cdot \eta) v d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_R} u (\nabla v \cdot \eta) d\sigma - \int_{\partial B_R} (\nabla u \cdot \eta) v d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} u (\nabla v \cdot \eta) d\sigma + \int_{\partial B_R} (u (\nabla v \cdot \eta) - (\nabla u \cdot \eta) v) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $R$  big enough, by the assumption on  $u$  and  $v$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial B_R} (u (\nabla v \cdot \eta) - (\nabla u \cdot \eta) v) d\sigma \right| &\leq \int_{\partial B_R} |u \nabla v \cdot \eta - v \nabla u \cdot \eta| d\sigma \\ &\leq o(R^{1-n}) R^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

*Remark 2.10.* Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the closure of a bounded open set with smooth boundary. In the next section, we will need to apply Green's formula to pairs of functions  $\varphi$  and  $u$ , where  $\varphi \in U_c(\Omega)$  and  $u$  is a function harmonic in  $\Omega^c \cup \{\infty\}$  and continuous up to  $\partial\Omega$ . For this reason, we give now some estimates on the behavior of  $\varphi$  and  $u$  near infinity.

Let  $\varphi \in U_c(\Omega)$ . By applying Gauss formula, we have that for all  $x \in \Omega^c$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \int \phi_n(x-y) \Delta_y \varphi(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div}_y(\phi_n(x-y) \nabla_y \varphi(y)) - \nabla_y \phi_n(x-y) \cdot \nabla_y \varphi(y)) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi_n(x-y) \nabla_y \varphi(y) \cdot \eta(y) d\sigma(y) + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi_n(x-y) \cdot \nabla_y \varphi(y) dm(y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta$  and  $d\sigma$  are the outward unit normal vector and surface measure related to  $\partial\Omega$ .

Assume  $n > 2$ . By computing the derivatives of  $\phi_n$ , it is an exercise to see that any  $\varphi \in U_c(\Omega)$  satisfies the statement (3) of theorem 2.5, so it is harmonic at infinity. It is proved in [Fo] (proposition 2.73) that any function  $u$  harmonic outside  $\Omega$  and at infinity satisfies  $|\nabla u(x) \cdot x| = O(|x|^{2-n})$ , so lemma 2.9 can be applied to the pair  $\varphi$  and  $u$ , and Green's formula holds in that case.

The case  $n = 2$  is a little bit different, because we cannot ensure that a function  $\varphi \in U_c(\Omega)$  has the required decay at infinity. We have the estimates  $|\varphi(x)| = O(\log|x|)$  and  $|\nabla \varphi(x)| = O(|x|^{-1})$  near infinity (and we cannot apply theorem 2.5(2)). For a function  $u$  harmonic outside  $\Omega$  and at infinity, we still have the estimates  $|u(x)| = O(1)$  and  $|\nabla u(x) \cdot x| = O(|x|^{-1})$ , as can be seen in proposition 2.73 of [Fo]. These estimates are not enough to use lemma 2.9, because  $|u(x) \nabla \varphi(x) \cdot x| = O(1)$ . But, if  $u(\infty) = 0$ , then  $|u(x)| = o(1)$  and we can still apply lemma 2.9 in that particular case.

### 3. THE HEART OF THE MATTER

In the whole section,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  will be the closure of a bounded open set with smooth boundary and  $\eta$  will denote the outward unit normal vector on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Consider the following normed spaces related to the compact set  $\Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} B(\Omega) &= \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)^n : f = \nabla\varphi, \varphi \in U_c(\Omega)\}, \\ B(\Omega)^\perp &= \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)^n : \langle f, \mu \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } f \in B(\Omega)\}, \\ bB(\Omega)^\perp &= \{\mu \in B(\Omega)^\perp : \text{supp}\mu \subset \partial\Omega\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B(\Omega)$  is equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\Omega$  and the orthogonal spaces  $B(\Omega)^\perp$  and  $bB(\Omega)^\perp$  are equipped with the induced norm from  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)^n$ . It is easily seen that the norm in  $bB(\Omega)^\perp$  induced by the space  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)^n$  coincides with the norm induced by the space  $\mathcal{M}(\partial\Omega)^n$ .

Let  $A(\Omega)$  be the set of smooth vector fields on  $\partial\Omega$ . For any  $g \in A(\Omega)$ , let  $g_\tau$  be the tangential component of  $g$  and  $g_\eta \cdot \eta$  the normal one, i.e.,  $g_\eta = g \cdot \eta$  and  $g_\tau = g - g_\eta \eta$ . Notice that  $g_\eta$  is a scalar function while  $g_\tau$  is a vector one. Denote by  $u_g$  the unique harmonic extension of  $g_\eta$  to  $\Omega^c \cup \{\infty\}$  given by theorem 2.6. Define  $A_0(\Omega) = \{g \in A(\Omega) : u_g(\infty) = 0\}$ . By theorem 2.5,  $A_0(\Omega) = A(\Omega)$  for  $n > 2$ .

For any  $g \in A(\Omega)$ , the divergence of  $g_\tau$  on  $\partial\Omega$  can be defined by its action on smooth and compactly supported functions  $\varphi$  as

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\operatorname{div} g_\tau) \varphi d\sigma = - \int_{\partial\Omega} g_\tau \cdot \nabla \varphi d\sigma.$$

In the right hand side, we can replace  $\nabla\varphi$  by  $(\nabla\varphi)_\tau$ , which only depends on the values of  $\varphi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (remember that  $(\nabla\varphi)_\tau = d(\varphi|_{\partial\Omega})$ ). This definition agrees with the classical one of divergence in the context of Riemannian manifolds in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see [Wa]).

The following theorem is a little modification of theorem 3.1 in [GK], where the orthogonal of the space of harmonic gradients inside  $\Omega$  is studied. In our case, we need the harmonicity outside  $\Omega$ . Our proof is almost the same as the one of [GK] and, for completeness, we include all the detailed arguments.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $g \in A_0(\Omega)$ . Then  $gd\sigma \in bB(\Omega)^\perp$  if and only if*

$$\operatorname{div} g_\tau = \nabla u_g \cdot \eta \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

*Such measures are weak\* dense in  $bB(\Omega)^\perp$ , i.e., for all  $\mu \in bB(\Omega)^\perp$  there exists a sequence  $\{g^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A_0(\Omega)$  such that  $\operatorname{div} g_\tau^m = \nabla u_{g^m} \cdot \eta$  for all  $m$  and*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, g^m d\sigma \rangle = \langle f, \mu \rangle$$

*for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)^n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in A_0(\Omega)$  and take  $\nabla\varphi \in B(\Omega)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla\varphi, gd\sigma \rangle &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla\varphi)_\tau \cdot g_\tau d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla\varphi \cdot \eta) g_\eta d\sigma \\ &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \operatorname{div} g_\tau d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla\varphi \cdot \eta) u_g d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The pair  $\varphi$  and  $u_g$  satisfies the statements of lemma 2.9 by remark 2.10, so

$$\langle \nabla \varphi, g d\sigma \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi (\nabla u_g \cdot \eta - \operatorname{div} g_\tau) d\sigma.$$

This integral vanishes for all  $\varphi$  such that  $\nabla \varphi \in B(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\operatorname{div} g_\tau = \nabla u_g \cdot \eta$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

To prove that such measures  $g d\sigma$  are weak\* dense in  $bB(\Omega)^\perp$  it is enough to prove that if  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)^n$  and  $\langle f, g d\sigma \rangle = 0$  for all such  $g$ , then there exists  $\psi \in U_c(\Omega)$  with  $(\nabla \psi)|_{\partial\Omega} = f$ . So, consider  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)^n$  with

$$(3.1) \quad 0 = \langle f, g d\sigma \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} (f_\tau \cdot g_\tau + f_\eta g_\eta) d\sigma$$

for all  $g \in A_0(\Omega)$  such that  $\operatorname{div} g_\tau = \nabla u_g \cdot \eta$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . By Hodge's decomposition theorem on the Riemannian manifold  $\partial\Omega$  (see [MC, lemma 9.1]),  $f_\tau = d\varphi + h_\tau$ , where  $\varphi \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$  and  $h_\tau$  is a tangential vector field on  $\partial\Omega$  such that  $\operatorname{div} h_\tau = 0$ . If we take  $g_\eta = 0$  and  $g_\tau = h_\tau$  in (3.1), we obtain

$$0 = \langle f, g d\sigma \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} (d\varphi \cdot g_\tau + h_\tau \cdot g_\tau) d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} |h_\tau|^2 d\sigma,$$

so  $h_\tau = 0$  and  $f_\tau = d\varphi$ . This implies that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f_\tau \cdot g_\tau d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} d\varphi \cdot g_\tau d\sigma = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \operatorname{div} g_\tau d\sigma = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi (\nabla u_g \cdot \eta) d\sigma,$$

and then (3.1) takes the form

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} f_\eta u_g d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi (\nabla u_g \cdot \eta) d\sigma.$$

For any hole  $H$  of  $\Omega$  (i.e.  $H$  is a bounded connected component of  $\Omega^c$ ), consider a vector field  $g \in A_0(\Omega)$  such that  $g = \eta$  on  $\partial H$  and  $g = 0$  elsewhere. Then (3.2) shows that  $\int_{\partial H} f_\eta d\sigma = 0$ , so we can apply theorem 2.7 (and also remark 2.8 for  $n = 2$ ) to solve the Neumann problem on the complement of  $\Omega$  with boundary data  $f_\eta$ . Let  $\psi \in C^\infty(\Omega^c) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega^c})$  be a solution such that  $\nabla \psi(\infty) = 0$  (this is automatically satisfied for  $n > 2$  using the Kelvin transform and computing the derivatives, and can be assumed for  $n = 2$  by remark 2.8).

As we did in remark 2.10, it is easily checked that  $u_g$  and  $\psi$  satisfy the statements of lemma 2.9 if  $n \geq 2$ , so we deduce from (3.2) that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} (\varphi - \psi) (\nabla u_g \cdot \eta) d\sigma = 0.$$

This equality holds for all  $u_g$  harmonic in  $\Omega^c$  and smooth up to  $\partial\Omega$  such that there exists  $g \in A_0(\Omega)$  with  $u_g = g \cdot \eta$  and  $\operatorname{div} g_\tau = \nabla u_g \cdot \eta$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . We are going to see that (3.3) implies that  $\varphi - \psi$  is constant on each connected component of  $\partial\Omega$ .

Suppose we have a smooth function  $q$  on  $\partial\Omega$  such that  $\int_S q d\sigma = 0$  for each connected component  $S$  of  $\partial\Omega$ . Then, by the maximal de Rham cohomology theorem on the Riemannian manifold  $S$  (see [L1], theorem 1.1 of chapter XVIII), the differential form  $qd\sigma$  is exact, i.e., there exists a smooth vector field  $w$  tangent to  $S$  and such that  $\operatorname{div} w = q$ . On the other hand, the Neumann problem with boundary data  $q$  can be solved on  $\Omega^c$  by theorem

2.7. Therefore, we can assume that this solution (call it  $u_g$ ) vanishes at infinity. Summarizing, given the function  $q$  we have constructed a smooth vector field  $w + u_g \cdot \eta \in A_0(\Omega)$  such that  $\operatorname{div} w = \nabla u_g \cdot \eta$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

So, we deduce from (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} (\varphi - \psi) q d\sigma = 0$$

for all smooth functions  $q$  on  $\partial\Omega$  such that  $\int_S q d\sigma = 0$  for each connected component  $S$  of  $\partial\Omega$ .

Therefore, we deduce from (3.4) that  $\varphi - \psi$  is constant on each component of  $\partial\Omega$ , and we obtain  $(\nabla\psi)_\tau = d\varphi = f_\tau$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Remember that  $\nabla\psi \cdot \eta = f_\eta$ , so  $\nabla\psi = f$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . As  $f \in C(\partial\Omega)^n$  and  $\Delta\psi = 0$  in  $\Omega^c$ , we have  $\psi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega^c})$  because each coordinate of  $\nabla\psi$  must be given by the Poisson integral of the corresponding coordinate of  $f$ . By the Whitney extension theorem (see [Wh], or [Pa] theorem [8]), we can extend  $\psi$  inside  $\Omega$  to have  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We have finally obtained  $\psi \in U_c(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = f$ , so the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $\mu \in B(\Omega)^\perp$ , then  $\mu|_{\partial\Omega} \in bB(\Omega)^\perp$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\nabla\varphi \in B(\Omega)$ . We have to check that  $\langle \nabla\varphi, \mu|_{\partial\Omega} \rangle = 0$ .

Because of  $\mu \in B(\Omega)^\perp$ ,

$$\langle \nabla\varphi, \mu|_{\partial\Omega} \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla\varphi d\mu = \langle \nabla\varphi, \mu \rangle - \int_{\text{int}\Omega} \nabla\varphi d\mu = - \int_{\text{int}\Omega} \nabla\varphi d\mu.$$

Consider a Whitney decomposition  $\text{int}\Omega = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Q_i$ , where  $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  are disjoint cubes such that  $2Q_i \subset \text{int}\Omega$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and the family  $\{2Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  has finite overlap of order  $M$ , and consider a partition of unity subordinated to this decomposition: let  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of  $C^\infty$  functions such that  $0 \leq \psi_i \leq 1$ ,  $\|\nabla\psi_i\| \leq C/\operatorname{diam}(Q_i)$  and  $\operatorname{supp}\psi_i \subset \frac{3}{2}Q_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_i = 1$  in  $\text{int}\Omega$ . Then  $\varphi = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_i \varphi$  in  $\text{int}\Omega$  and at most  $M$  terms are non zero in the last sum for all  $x \in \text{int}\Omega$ .

Observe that

$$\int_{\text{int}\Omega} \nabla(\psi_i \varphi) d\mu = \langle \nabla(\psi_i \varphi), \mu \rangle = 0,$$

because  $\operatorname{supp}(\psi_i \varphi) \subset \text{int}\Omega$  and  $\nabla(\psi_i \varphi) \in B(\Omega)$ . Hence, for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{int}\Omega} \nabla\varphi d\mu &= \int_{\text{int}\Omega} \nabla\varphi d\mu - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\text{int}\Omega} \nabla(\psi_i \varphi) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\text{int}\Omega} \nabla\varphi d\mu - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\text{int}\Omega} (\varphi \nabla\psi_i + \psi_i \nabla\varphi) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\text{int}\Omega} \nabla\varphi \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i \right) d\mu + \int_{\text{int}\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi \nabla\psi_i d\mu = I + II. \end{aligned}$$

By dominated convergence,  $|I| \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

In order to estimate  $|II|$ , define the set

$$\Omega_N = \{x \in \text{int}\Omega : \exists i > N \text{ with } x \in \operatorname{supp}\psi_i\}.$$

Clearly,  $\Omega_{N+1} \subset \Omega_N$  and  $\bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_N = \emptyset$ . Because of the finite overlap of the squares  $2Q_i$ , we also have

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla \psi_i(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{int}\Omega \setminus \Omega_N.$$

Let  $x_i$  be the center of  $Q_i$ . Using that  $\nabla \psi_i \in B(\Omega)$ , that  $\text{supp} \psi_i \subset \text{int}\Omega$  and equation (3.5), we deduce

$$II = \int_{\Omega_N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_i)) \nabla \psi_i(x) d\mu(x).$$

For all  $x \in \Omega_N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N (\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_i)) \nabla \psi_i(x) \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N |\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_i)| |\nabla \psi_i(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla \varphi\|_\Omega |x - x_i| |\nabla \psi_i(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x \in \text{supp} \psi_i$ , then  $|\nabla \psi_i(x)| |x - x_i| \leq C$ . Because of the finite overlap of the cubes  $2Q_i$ , each  $x \in \Omega_N$  belongs to the support of at most  $M$  functions  $\psi_i$ , so the last sum is less than or equal to  $CM \|\nabla \varphi\|_\Omega$  (which does not depend on  $x \in \Omega_N$ ). This implies that

$$|II| \leq CM \|\nabla \varphi\|_\Omega |\mu|(\Omega_N).$$

Now,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |\mu|(\Omega_N) = |\mu|(\bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_N) = 0$ , so  $|II| \rightarrow 0$  when  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Theorem 3.3.

$$\kappa_c(\Omega) = \min \left\{ \|\eta d\sigma_o + \mu\|_{\partial_o \Omega} : \mu \in B(\Omega)^\perp, \text{supp} \mu \subset \partial_o \Omega \right\},$$

where  $d\sigma_o$  is the surface measure of  $\partial_o \Omega$ , i.e., the restriction of  $d\sigma$  to  $\partial_o \Omega$ .

*Proof.* By definition,

$$(3.6) \quad \kappa_c(\Omega) = \sup \{ \langle 1, \Delta \varphi \rangle : \varphi \in U_c(\Omega), \|\nabla \varphi\| \leq 1 \}.$$

Using Gauss formula, for each  $\varphi \in U_c(\Omega)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1, \Delta \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\partial \Omega} \nabla \varphi \cdot \eta d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial_o \Omega} \nabla \varphi \cdot \eta d\sigma + \int_{\partial \Omega \setminus \partial_o \Omega} \nabla \varphi \cdot \eta d\sigma = \int_{\partial_o \Omega} \nabla \varphi \cdot \eta d\sigma_o, \end{aligned}$$

because each connected component of  $\partial \Omega \setminus \partial_o \Omega$  is the boundary of a smooth bounded domain where  $\varphi$  is harmonic.

Define the functional  $\Phi : C(\Omega)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $\Phi(f) = \int_{\partial \Omega} f \cdot \eta d\sigma_o$ . Then (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_c(\Omega) &= \sup \{ |\Phi(\nabla \varphi)| : \nabla \varphi \in B(\Omega), \|\nabla \varphi\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ |\Phi(\nabla \varphi)| : \nabla \varphi \in B(\Omega), \|\nabla \varphi\|_\Omega \leq 1 \} = \|\Phi\|_{B(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $|\nabla \varphi|$  is subharmonic when  $\varphi$  is harmonic, and the maximum principle can be applied.

Clearly, a functional  $\tilde{\Phi}$  on  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)^n$  is an extension of  $\Phi|_{B(\Omega)}$  if and only if  $\Psi := \tilde{\Phi} - \Phi$  is orthogonal to  $B(\Omega)$ , and for all such extensions  $\|\Phi\|_{B(\Omega)} \leq \|\tilde{\Phi}\|$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi\|_{B(\Omega)} &= \min \left\{ \|\tilde{\Phi}\| : \tilde{\Phi} \text{ is an extension of } \Phi|_{B(\Omega)} \text{ to } \mathcal{C}(\Omega)^n \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \|\Phi + \Psi\| : \Psi \text{ is orthogonal to } B(\Omega) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \|\eta d\sigma_o + \mu\|_\Omega : \mu \in B(\Omega)^\perp \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used by Hahn-Banach's theorem in the first equality, and Riesz's representation theorem 2.1 in the third one.

Observe that for any measure  $\nu$  supported on  $\Omega$ ,

$$\|\nu|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{\partial\Omega} = \|\nu|_{\partial\Omega}\|_\Omega \leq \|\nu\|_\Omega,$$

so by proposition 3.2,

$$\min \left\{ \|\eta d\sigma_o + \mu\|_\Omega : \mu \in B(\Omega)^\perp \right\} = \min \left\{ \|\eta d\sigma_o + \mu\|_{\partial\Omega} : \mu \in bB(\Omega)^\perp \right\}.$$

It only remains to check that the last minimum is attained on a measure  $\mu \in bB(\Omega)^\perp$  with  $\text{supp}\mu \subset \partial\Omega$ .

By theorem 3.1, for every  $\mu \in bB(\Omega)^\perp$  we can find a sequence  $h_n \in A_0(\Omega) \cap bB(\Omega)^\perp$  that tends weakly\* to  $\mu$ . Since the connected components of  $\partial\Omega$  are a finite number of disjoint compact sets, we see that  $h_n \chi_S$  tends weakly\* to  $\mu|_S$  for every connected component  $S$  of  $\partial\Omega$ . In particular,  $h_n \chi_{\partial_o\Omega}$  tends weakly\* to  $\mu|_{\partial_o\Omega}$  and, by theorem 3.1,  $h_n \chi_{\partial_o\Omega} \in bB(\Omega)^\perp$  for all  $n$ , so  $\mu|_{\partial_o\Omega} \in bB(\Omega)^\perp$ . We also clearly have  $\|\eta d\sigma_o + \mu|_{\partial_o\Omega}\|_{\partial\Omega} \leq \|\eta d\sigma_o + \mu\|_{\partial\Omega}$ .

To summarize, for every measure  $\mu \in B(\Omega)^\perp$  with  $\text{supp}\mu \subset \partial\Omega$  we have seen that  $\mu|_{\partial_o\Omega} \in bB(\Omega)^\perp$  and that

$$\|\eta d\sigma_o + \mu|_{\partial_o\Omega}\|_{\partial\Omega} = \|\eta d\sigma_o + \mu|_{\partial_o\Omega}\|_{\partial\Omega} \leq \|\eta d\sigma_o + \mu\|_{\partial\Omega},$$

so the theorem is proved.  $\square$

#### 4. SOME CONSEQUENCES OF THEOREM 3.3

**Theorem 4.1.** *For every compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\kappa(E) = \kappa(\partial_o E)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\kappa$  is non decreasing set function,  $\kappa(E) \geq \kappa(\partial_o E)$ .

In order to prove the converse inequality, let  $\{\Omega_m^1\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of closures of smooth neighborhoods of  $E$  collapsing to  $E$ , i.e.

$$E \subset \Omega_{m+1}^1 \subset \text{int}\Omega_m^1, \quad \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_m^1 = E.$$

Denote by  $V$  the unbounded connected component of  $E^c$  and consider the bounded open set  $V' = \overline{V}^c$ . Take an increasing sequence of open sets  $\{V'_m\}_m$  such that

$$V'_m \subset V', \quad \overline{V'_m} \subset V'_{m+1}, \quad \partial V'_m \subset \Omega_m^1, \quad \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} V'_m = V'$$

and with smooth boundary, for all  $m$ . Finally, define the sequence

$$\{\Omega_m^2 := \Omega_m^1 \setminus V'_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

By construction, the sequence  $\{\Omega_m^2\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a decreasing sequence of closures of bounded open sets with smooth boundary. Observe also that  $\partial_o \Omega_m^1 = \partial_o \Omega_m^2$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, if  $x \in \partial_o E = \partial V$ , then  $x \in \Omega_m^2$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in \Omega_m^2 \subset \Omega_m^1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x \in \overline{V} \cap E$ , so  $x \in \partial_o E$ . Therefore, we have proved that  $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_m^2 = \partial_o E$ .

By [Pa] lemma 2.2(7),

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(\Omega_m^1) &= \kappa(E), \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(\Omega_m^2) &= \kappa(\partial_o E).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\eta_m$  be the outward unit normal vector on  $\partial_o \Omega_m^1$  and  $d\sigma_m$  the surface measure on  $\partial_o \Omega_m^1$ . It is easy to see that a measure  $\mu$  supported in  $\partial_o \Omega_m^1$  belongs to  $B(\Omega_m^1)^\perp$  if and only if it belongs to  $B(\Omega_m^2)^\perp$ . Applying theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_c(\Omega_m^1) &= \min \left\{ \|\eta_m d\sigma_m + \mu\|_{\partial_o \Omega_m^1} : \mu \in B(\Omega_m^1)^\perp, \text{supp } \mu \subset \partial_o \Omega_m^1 \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \|\eta_m d\sigma_m + \mu\|_{\partial_o \Omega_m^2} : \mu \in B(\Omega_m^2)^\perp, \text{supp } \mu \subset \partial_o \Omega_m^2 \right\} \\ &= \kappa_c(\Omega_m^2).\end{aligned}$$

By definition,  $\kappa_c$  is also monotone and  $\kappa_c \leq \kappa$  and by [Pa] lemma 2.2(1), both capacities coincide on open sets. So, we have

$$\kappa(\Omega_{m+1}^1) \leq \kappa(\text{int } \Omega_m^1) = \kappa_c(\text{int } \Omega_m^1) \leq \kappa_c(\Omega_m^1) = \kappa_c(\Omega_m^2) \leq \kappa(\Omega_m^2).$$

The theorem is proved by letting  $m$  tend to infinity.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $f$  be a real continuous function defined on the cube  $Q_0 = [0, d]^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and let  $\Gamma = \{(x, f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \in Q_0\}$  be the graph of  $f$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on  $n$  such that*

$$Cd^{n-1} \leq \kappa(\Gamma).$$

*Proof.* The proof is based on theorem 4.1 and the semiadditivity of  $\kappa$ . Starting from  $Q_0$ , consider a decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  into cubes  $Q_i$  of side length  $d$  and with disjoint interiors. By doing reflections with respect to the sides of the cubes  $Q_i$ , we can extend  $f$  to a function  $\tilde{f}$  continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and such that  $\tilde{f}$  in  $Q_i$  is a reflection of  $f$  in  $Q_0$ .

Let  $Q^m$  be a cube in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  of side length  $md$  made by the union of  $m^{n-1}$  cubes  $Q_i$ . Let  $\Gamma_m$  be the graph of the function  $\tilde{f}$  on  $Q^m$ , i.e.,

$$\Gamma_m = \{(x, \tilde{f}(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \in Q^m\},$$

and consider its translation

$$\Gamma_m^t = \{(x, \tilde{f}(x) + 4\|f\|_{Q_0}) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \in Q^m\}.$$

Clearly, the sets  $\Gamma_m$  and  $\Gamma_m^t$  do not intersect. Moreover, they are separated by the set  $P_m = \{(x, 2\|f\|_{Q_0}) : x \in Q^m\}$ .

Finally, let  $E_m$  be the region enclosed by  $\Gamma_m$ ,  $\Gamma_m^t$  and the  $2(n-1)$  pieces of vertical hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that join the endpoints of  $\Gamma_m$  and  $\Gamma_m^t$ . Roughly speaking,  $E_m$  is a kind of  $n$ -dimensional rectangle which has  $\Gamma_m$  as the

bottom side and  $\Gamma_m^t$  as the top side. By construction,  $E_m$  is a compact set that contains  $P_m$ , so

$$(4.1) \quad \kappa(E_m) \geq \kappa(P_m) = C(md)^{n-1}$$

by [Pa] lemma 2.2(8). Applying theorem 4.1, the countable semiadditivity of  $\kappa$  and [Pa] lemma 2.2(8), we have

$$(4.2) \quad \kappa(E_m) = \kappa(\partial_o E_m) \leq C(\kappa(\Gamma_m) + \kappa(\Gamma_m^t) + 20(n-1)\|f\|_{Q_0}(md)^{n-2}).$$

By the construction of  $\Gamma_m$  and the countable semiadditivity of  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa(\Gamma_m^t) = \kappa(\Gamma_m) \leq Cm^{n-1}\kappa(\Gamma)$ , so (4.2) becomes

$$(4.3) \quad \kappa(E_m) \leq C(2m^{n-1}\kappa(\Gamma) + 20(n-1)\|f\|_{Q_0}(md)^{n-2}).$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.3), we get

$$\kappa(\Gamma) \geq \frac{Cm^{n-1}d^{n-1} - C'\|f\|_{Q_0}(md)^{n-2}}{2m^{n-1}} = Cd^{n-1} - C'\frac{\|f\|_{Q_0}d^{n-2}}{m},$$

where  $C' > 0$  is an absolute which only depends on the dimension  $n$ . Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\kappa(\Gamma) \geq Cd^{n-1},$$

and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* One can show that  $\kappa(E) \geq Cdiam(E)$  for any continuum  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  by using the same ideas as in the proof of theorem 4.2. One starts by choosing two points  $a, b \in E$  such that  $diam(E) = |b - a|$  and assuming that these points belong to the real axis in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, one extends the set  $E$  by symmetries along the real axis as we did before. The rest of the proof remains the same.

The inequality  $\kappa(E) \geq Cdiam(E)$  for a continuum  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  was stated as an open question in problem 2.6 of [Pa] and was first proved by P. Jones by using the notion of curvature of a measure and other capacities called  $\gamma_+$  and  $\kappa_+$  (see [Pj], [To2], and [Vo]). We have proved it by a different method.

## REFERENCES

- [Ah] L. Ahlfors, *Bounded analytic functions*, Duke Math. J. 14 (1947), pp. 1-11.
- [Da] G. David, *Unrectifiable 1-sets have vanishing analytic capacity*. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 14 (1998), pp. 369-479.
- [DS] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*. Part I, Interscience, New York and London. MR 22 (1958).
- [DU] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures. With a foreword by B. J. Pettis*. Math. Surveys, No. 15. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R.I. (1977).
- [Fo] G. Folland, *Introduction to partial differential equations*, Math. Notes 17, Princeton (1976).
- [Ga] T. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. (1969).
- [Gt] J. Garnett, *Analytic capacity and measure*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 297, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1972).
- [GK] B. Gustafsson and D. Khavinson, *On annihilators of harmonic vector fields*, Zapiski Nauchn. Semin. POMI 232 (1996), Issled. po Linein. Oper. i Teor. Funktsii. 24, 90-108; English transl. in J. Math. Sci. (New York) 92 (1998), no. 1, pp. 3600-3612.
- [L1] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math. 191, Springer (1999).
- [L2] S. Lang, *Real and functional analysis*, Third Edition. Grad. Texts in Math. 142, Springer (1993).

- [Ma] E. Malinnikova, *Measures orthogonal to the gradients of harmonic functions*, Complex analysis and dynamical systems, Contemp. Math., 364, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI (2004), pp. 181-192.
- [MC] J.E. Marsden and M. McCracken, *The Hopf bifurcation and its applications*, Applied Math. Sci. 19, Springer (1976).
- [MP] P. Mattila and P. V. Paramonov, *On geometric properties of harmonic  $Lip_1$ -capacity*. Pacific J. Math. 171 (2) (1995), pp. 469-490.
- [Pj] H. Pajot, *Analytic capacity, rectifiability, Menger curvature and the Cauchy integral*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1799, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002).
- [Pa] P. V. Paramonov, *On harmonic approximation in the  $C^1$  norm*, Mat. Sb. 181 (10) (1990), 1341-1365; English transl. in Math. USSR-Sb. 71 (1) (1992), pp. 183-201.
- [Rz] A. Ruiz de Villa, *Estimate of the normal derivative over the boundary of Lipschitz domains*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2008), Art. ID rnm 109, 20 pp.
- [RT] A. Ruiz de Villa and X. Tolsa, *Characterization and semiadditivity of the  $C^1$ -harmonic capacity*. Preprint 2007.
- [To1] X. Tolsa, *Painlevé's problem and the semiadditivity of analytic capacity*. Acta Math. 190 (2003), pp. 105-149.
- [To2] X. Tolsa, *On the analytic capacity  $\gamma_+$* . Indiana Univ. Math. J. 51 (2) (2002), pp. 317-344.
- [Vi] A. G. Vitushkin, *The analytic capacity of sets in problems of approximation theory*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. 22(6) (1967), pp. 141-199 (Russian); en Russian Math. Surveys 22 (1967), pp. 139-200.
- [Vo] A. Volberg, *Calderón-Zygmund capacities and operators on nonhomogeneous spaces*. CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. 100, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2003).
- [Wa] F. Warner, *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*, Scott, Foresman, and Company, Glenview, Illinois (1971).
- [Wh] H. Whitney, *Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1934), pp. 63-89.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* amblesa@mat.uab.cat

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* markmeli@mat.uab.cat

INSTITUCIÓ CATALANA DE RECERCA I ESTUDIS AVANÇATS (ICREA) AND DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* xtolsa@mat.uab.cat